ISSN 2067-9564

EVALUATION OF THE INFLUENCE FOR THE COMPONENTS OF A MULTILAYERED HONEYCOMB COMPOSITE MATERIAL SUBJECTED TO COMPLEX STATIC LOADING

Raul CORMOS¹, Horia Alexandru PETRESCU², Sorin DRĂGHICI³, Anton HADAR⁴

Rezumat: Scopul acestui articol este studiul influenței componentelor unui material compozit multistrat cu miez de tip fagure asupra modului de preluare a unei sarcini statice complexe, în domeniul liniar elastic. Pentru efectuarea acestui studiu s-a folosit simularea numerică, iar rezultatele obținute au fost validate pe cale experimentală.

Abstract: The purpose of this article is the evaluation of the influence of the components of a multilayered honeycomb composite material, as to the load carrying capacity, when the material is subjected to a complex static loading, in the linear elastic domain. The numerical simulation approach was used for this study, and the results were validated experimentally.

Keywords: multilayered honeycomb structure components, numerical simulation, experimental validation.

1. Introduction

Composite materials are used today in many high-tech engineering applications and they are the subject of intense research. One of the most used composite material classes are the honeycomb composite materials. These composite materials have multiple advantages, the most important advantage of these materials is their low weight compared to the high strength. Due to their advanced mechanical properties, they are used in the most demanding areas of mechanical engineering. The lightweights and high-strength makes them ideal materials used in aerospace engineering. Aircraft and spacecraft structures use

λĒ.

¹ PhD candidate, Raul CORMOS, Strength of Materials Department, Faculty IMST, PolitehnicaUniversity of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania.

² As. Prof. PhD. eng. Horia Alexandru PETRESCU, Strength of Materials Department, Faculty IMST, Politehnica University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania.

³As. Prof. PhD. eng. Sorin Drăghici, Strength of Materials Department, Faculty IMST, Politehnica University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania.

⁴Prof. PhD. eng. Anton HADAR, coordinator, Corresponding member of AOSR.

honeycomb composite materials in the primary and secondary structural components, for example the outer hall of spacecraft structures is made of honeycomb composite materials [1] [2].

Today honeycomb composite materials are the subject of intense scientific research and development. The elastic properties of honeycomb structures depend on the materials used for the core and the cell geometrical dimensions [3] [4]. The primary loads which are honeycomb composite material are subjected to compression, and bending. Also, it has been established that honeycomb composite materials are resistant materials, when they are subjected to impact loading. The main component which dictates the load carrying capacity of the honeycomb composite material subjected to impact loading is the core. It has been evaluated that for a honeycomb composite material subjected to impact loading the core distributes the impact energy on the honeycomb structure [5] [6].

In [16] it is stated that in the bending test an Al honeycomb core is abruptly fractured without plastic deformation. The optimal energy absorption per unit dynamic volume related dynamic plateau stress and is to densification strain [15], thus that the dynamic densification strain determines the cushioning performance [14]. Also, the experimental investigation performed in [12] showed that under static loading, the composite sandwich failed with sudden brittle type failure due to shear failure of the core and compressive failure of the skins followed by debonding between the skin and the core.

In recent decades, new honeycomb composite materials were developed using nonconventional honeycomb cores. Such materials present better mechanical properties compared to traditional honeycomb cores as well as new types of mechanical properties. In some cases honeycomb course can possess negative Poisson ratio [7]. Such property allows the honeycomb structures to be used in new engineering applications.

The main purpose of this article is to evaluate the contribution of a multilayered honeycomb composite material component, to its load carrying capacity, in the linear elastic domain of the material, using the finite element simulation and experimental validation.

2. Material description

The multilayered honeycomb composite material is made of five layers: two honeycomb cores and three laminated single and double layered composites. The two outer sheets are double layered woven composites. The core of the material is made of two honeycomb cores separated by woven composite laminate. The single and double layered composite laminates are linked to the cores using polyester resin. The multilayered honeycomb composite material is presented in Figure 1. The honeycomb cores are impregnated in polyester resin. Evaluation of the Influence for the Components, of a Multilayered Honeycomb Composite Material Subjected to Complex Static Loading





3. Analysis description

In order to study the load carrying capacity and the influence of components on the multilayered honeycomb composite material, two sets of analyses will be carried out. In the first set the mechanical properties of the laminated composite materials will be considered isotopic. In the second set the elastic properties of the laminated composite materials will be considered with orthotropic elastic properties. After completing the two analysis sets, the results will be compared, and to established the influence of the components of the multilayered honeycomb composite material.

To offer consistency to the results, prior to the finite element analysis, a static experimental test is carried out.

Experimental setup

4

The specimen of multilayered honeycomb composite material which will be subjected to a combined static loading is presented in Figure 2.



Fig. 2 Multilayered honeycomb composite material specimen

The dimensions of multilayered honeycomb composite material are exhibited in Figure 3.

67



The multilayered honeycomb composite material is placed on a support plate, dimension 60x60, with a hole in the centre of 20 mm in diameter, Figure 4. The multilayered honeycomb composite material is subjected to a combined loading by the displacement of a stamp.



Fig. 4. Multilayered honeycomb composite material in test position

After completing the static testing, the obtained results are presented in Figure 5.



5. Finite element and geometry description

۲

The correlation between the effective properties of the single honeycomb core obtained by the analytical and numerical modelling is in good agreement as stated in [13], hence a numerical approach is proposed. Because the relative position of the honeycomb cores can't be exactly determined, four geometrical models are considered, based on the position of the two honeycomb cores.

For the first geometrical model, the two honey comb cores are overlapping, Figure 6.



Fig. 7. Second geometrical model [9]

In the third case, the two honeycomb cores are displaced with a 5.6 mm on the z axis direction from one another, Figure 8.



Fig. 10. Finite element model

Support

6. Computational conditions

For the finite element analysis, the support was articulated. Two frictionless contact definitions were made, one between the stamp and the upper double layered composite woven sheet and the other between the support and lower double layered composite sheet.

In the analysis, the multilayered honeycomb composite material is subjected to a combined loading through the displacement of the stamp. The reaction forces are taken for the given displacement of the stamp.

The reaction forces, obtained for all the four material configurations are mediated, for each given displacement.

7. Material properties

For the woven composite sheets, the elastic modulus, for both directions, was obtained through tension tests. The other mechanical properties were taken from [11].

Mechanical property	Value		
Ex single layered	16664		
Ey single layered	14054		
Ex double layered	17244		
Ey double layered	15314		
E _z [11]	7122.9 [MPa]		
v _{xv} [11]	0.129		
v _{yz} [11]	0.109		
v _{zx} [11]	0.33		
G _{xy} [11]	5942.3 [MPa]		
G _{vz} [11]	5014.3 [MPa]		
G _{zx} [11]	3138.5 [MPa]		

 Table 1. Mechanical properties of woven composite material.

Because the elastic modulus values for the two directions are similar, it is possible to reduce the complexity of the model by mediating the values. The elastic modulus values considered in the computation are presented in Table 2 **Table 2.** Material properties considered woven laminated composite.

Mechanical property	Value
Ex	16954 [MPa]
Ev	14684 [MPa]

In the case of honeycomb walls, the Young modulus was determined identically, as in the case of the woven composite. The results are presented in Table 3.

Mechanical property	Value
Е	16357 [MPa]
v [10]	0.35

71

Isotropic material properties were considered for the woven composite material by mediating the values of the mechanical properties. The values for the isotropic mechanical properties are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Isotrop	oic material	properties for	the com	posites sheets.

Component	Young modulus [MPa]	Poisson ratio
Woven composite	15819	0.33

For the support and stamp, standard steel elastic properties were used.

RI

8. Analysis results

The displacement values of the stamp were taken for the linear elastic domain of the multilayered honeycomb composite material, five values were considered. After completing the finite element analysis, for two computational sets, on each of the four geometrical models, the values of the obtained reaction forces are presented in Tables 5 and 6.

Displacement	Reaction	Reaction	Reaction	Reaction	Reaction Force
Inspiacement	Force [N]	Force [N]	Force [N]	Force [N]	Medium Value
[mm]	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	[N]
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.50	536.97	541.46	904.03	571.30	638.44
1.00	1073.90	1082.90	1808.10	1142.60	1276.88
1.50	1610.90	1624.40	2712.10	1713.90	1915.33
2.00	2147.90	2165.80	3616.10	2285.20	2553.75
2.50	2684.90	2707.30	4520.10	2856.50	3192.20
Table 6. Reaction forces values for the isotropic computational set.					
	Reaction	Reaction	Reaction	Reaction	Reaction Force
Displacement	Force [N]	Force [N]	Force [N]	Force [N]	Medium Value
[mm]	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	[N]
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.5	520.69	524.54	877.00	548.66	617.72
1	1041.40	1049.10	1754.00	1097.30	1235.45
1.5	1562.10	1573.60	2631.00	1646.00	1853.18
2	2082.70	2098.10	3508.00	2194.60	2470.85
2.5	2603.40	2622.70	4385.00	2743.30	3088.60

 Table 5. Reaction forces values for the orthotropic computational set.

To validate the finite element results, the reaction forces values are taken from the experimental results, for the given displacement, in the linear elastic domain of the multilayered honeycomb composite material. The results are presented graphically in Figure 11.





9. Conclusions

After completing the analysis, the following conclusions can be drawn: 1. To evaluate the influence of the components of a multilayered honeycomb structure statically loaded, mainly finite element simulation has been carried out.

2. The finite element evaluation consisted of two sets of computations, one considering isotropic elastic properties for the woven composite material and the other considering the natural orthotropic elastic properties.

3. The result of the finite element simulation for the orthotropic woven composite was checked using the experimental approach.

4. The elastic properties for the isotropic woven composite were obtained by mediating the elastic properties of the orthotropic woven composite sheets.

5. The static response curves in the two computational sets are very similar, which suggests that the face sheets are not the main load carrying components of the multilayered honeycomb composite material.

6. The results obtained for the finite element simulation are in good correspondence with the result obtained with the experimental testing. Thus the finite element model, used to study the behaviour of multilayered honeycomb composite material, and all the assumptions made during the analysis, were correct.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Guerda Degeorges, P. Thevenet, S. Maison, Damage Tolerance of Aeronautical Sandwich Structures, Mechanics of Sandwich Structures, Saint Etienne, France, 1997.
[2] S. Ryan, W. Riedel, F. Schäfer ,Numerical Study of Hypervelocity Space Debris Impacts on Cfrp / Al Honeycomb Spacecraft Structures, 55th International Astronautic Congress 2004.
[3]. L. J. Gibson, M. F. Ashby, Cellular Solids Structure and Properties-Second Edition, 1988, USA.

[4]. E. F. Bruhn, Analysis and Design of Flight Vehicles, Jacobs & Associated, 1965.

[5] I. T. Lee, Y.Shi, A.M. Afsar, Y. Ochi, S. I. Bae, J.I. Song Low Velocity Impact of Aluminium Honeycomb structures, Kyungnam, 2008.

[6] S.A. Galehdari, M. Kadkhodayan, S. Hadidi-Moud, Analytical, Experimental and Numerical Study of a Graded HoneyComb Structure under In-plane Impact Load with Low Velocity, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran, 2015.

[7] R. Lakes, Deformation Mechanism in Negative Poisson's Ratio Materials: Structural Aspects, Journal of Material Science, 1991.

[8] Ansys 15 user manual.

[9] R. Cormos, H. Petrescu, A. Hadar, G. M. Adir, G. Horia, Finite Element Analysis of the Multilayered Honeycomb Composite Material Subjected to Impact Loading.

[10] H. A. Petrescu, PhD Thesis, Contributions to the Study of Honeycomb Core Stratified Plates, Subjected to Static and Dynamic Loads, Politehnica University of Bucharest, 2011.

[11] S. Drăghici, PhD Thesis, Improving the Performance of a Low Orbit Satellite's Subset, Politehnica University of Bucharest, 2015.

[12] R. Mohmmed, A. Ahmed, M. A. Elgalib, A. H. M. Ali, H. A. Mhd Ahmed, Damage Behaviors of Glass Fabric Honeycomb Sandwich Composite Materials under Static Loading, International Journal of Mechanical and Production Engineering, ISSN: 2320-2092, Volume- 4, Issue-5, May 2016, pp. 35-40.

[13] J. Arbaoui, Y. Schmitt, J-L. Pierrot, F-X. Royer, Numerical Simulation and Experimental Bending Behavior of Multi-Layer Sandwich Structures, Journal of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, vol. 52.2. Warsaw, 2014, pp. 431-442.

[14] D. Sun1, Z. Wang, J. Feng, Cushioning Optimization of Multilayer Regularly-arranged Circular Honeycombs under In-plane Crushing Loadings, Applied Mechanics and Materials, Trans Tech Publications, vol. 200, Switzerland 2012, pp. 104-108.

[15] D Sun, M. Cai, W. Cao, In-plane Cushioning Optimization of Multilayer Regular Triangular Honeycombs, Advanced Materials Research, Trans Tech Publications, vol.510, Switzerland 2012, pp. 147-153.

[16] H. G. Kim, Y. J. Kim, H. J. Shin, S. H. Ko, H. W. Kim, Y. M. Kim, Y. J. Cha, W. K. Lee, L. K. Kwac, A Study on the Bending Analysis of the Al Honeycomb Core Sandwich Composite Panel Bearing Large Bending Load, Advanced Materials Research, Trans Tech Publications, vol.702, Switzerland 2013, pp. 245-252.

ACTION OF R