

# TOEPLITZ OPERATORS WITH BOUNDED HARMONIC SYMBOLS\*

Namita Das<sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

In this paper we show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are two bounded linear operators on the Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $AT_\phi B = T_\phi$  for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  then  $A = \alpha I$  and  $B = \beta I$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ . Here  $h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  is the space of all bounded harmonic functions on the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**MSC:** 47B35

**Keywords:** Toeplitz operators, Bergman space, bounded harmonic function, Bergman shift, Hardy space

## 1 Introduction

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $L_a^{2,n}(\mathbb{D})$  be the Hilbert space of all analytic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  with finite norm

$$\|f\|_{L_a^{2,n}(\mathbb{D})}^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(rz)|^2 d\mu_n(z).$$

The measure  $d\mu_1$  is the normalized Lebesgue arc length measure on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  and for  $n \geq 2$  the measure  $d\mu_n$  is the weighted Lebesgue area measure given by  $d\mu_n(z) = (n-1)(1-|z|^2)^{n-2}dA(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , where  $dA(z) = \frac{dx dy}{\pi}$ ,  $z = x + iy$ , is the planar Lebesgue area measure normalized so that the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  has area 1. The space  $L_a^{2,1}(\mathbb{D}) = H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , the standard Hardy space, the space  $L_a^{2,2}(\mathbb{D}) = L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , is the unweighted Bergman space and

---

\*Accepted for publication in revised form on January 29, 2014

<sup>†</sup>P.G.Department of Mathematics, Utkal University, Vanivihar, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, 751004, India, [namitadas440@yahoo.co.in](mailto:namitadas440@yahoo.co.in)

in general the space  $L_a^{2,n}(\mathbb{D})$  is the standard weighted Bergman space. The norm of  $L_a^{2,n}(\mathbb{D})$  is given by

$$\|f\|_{L_a^{2,n}(\mathbb{D})}^2 = \sum_{k \geq 0} |a_k|^2 \mu_{n,k},$$

where  $\mu_{n,k} = \frac{1}{\binom{k+n-1}{k}}$  for  $k \geq 0$ , using the power series expansion  $f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k z^k, z \in \mathbb{D}$ , of the function  $f \in L_a^{2,n}(\mathbb{D})$ .

For any  $n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $e_n(z) = \sqrt{n+1}z^n$ . The sequence  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  forms (7) an orthonormal basis for  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Let

$$K(z, w) = \overline{K_z(w)} = \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^2} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty e_n(z) \overline{e_n(w)}.$$

The function  $K(z, w)$  is called the Bergman kernel of  $\mathbb{D}$  or the reproducing kernel of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  because the formula:

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(w) K(z, w) dA(w)$$

reproduces each  $f$  in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $k_a(z) = \frac{K(z,a)}{\sqrt{K(a,a)}} = \frac{1-|a|^2}{(1-\bar{a}z)^2}$ . These functions  $k_a$  are called the normalized reproducing kernels of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ ; it is clear that they are unit vectors in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

Let  $Aut(\mathbb{D})$  be the Lie group of all automorphisms (biholomorphic mappings) of  $\mathbb{D}$ . We can define for each  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , an automorphism  $\phi_a$  in  $Aut(\mathbb{D})$  such that

- (i)  $(\phi_a \circ \phi_a)(z) \equiv z$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi_a(0) = a, \phi_a(a) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\phi_a$  has a unique fixed point in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

In fact,  $\phi_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$  for all  $a$  and  $z$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . An easy calculation shows that the derivative of  $\phi_a$  at  $z$  is equal to  $-k_a(z)$ . It follows that the real Jacobian determinant of  $\phi_a$  at  $z$  is  $J_{\phi_a}(z) = |k_a(z)|^2 = \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{|1-\bar{a}z|^4}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  be the space of bounded linear operators from the Hilbert space  $H$  into itself. Let  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  and  $L^p(\mathbb{T}), 1 \leq p < \infty$  be the Lebesgue space of  $\mathbb{T}$  induced by  $\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$  where  $d\theta$  is the arc-length measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Since  $d\theta$  is finite,  $L^p(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^1(\mathbb{T})$  for all  $p \geq 1$ . Given  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , the Fourier coefficients of  $f$  are:

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all integers. The Hardy space of  $\mathbb{T}$ , denoted by  $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ , is the subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  consisting of functions  $f$  with  $\widehat{f}(n) = 0$  for all negative integers  $n$ . It is not very important (7) to distinguish  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  from  $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ . Let  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  be the space of all complex-valued, essentially bounded Lebesgue measurable functions on  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $\|f\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |f(z)| < \infty$ . Let

$$H^\infty(\mathbb{T}) = \{\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) : \widehat{\phi}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Since  $H^2(\mathbb{T})$  is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , there exists an orthogonal projection  $P_+$  from  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  onto  $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ . For  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , we define the Toeplitz operator  $L_\phi$  on the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{T})$  as  $L_\phi f = P_+(\phi f)$ ,  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$ . Let  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  be the space of all essentially bounded, Lebesgue measurable functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  with the essential supremum norm and  $h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  be the space of all bounded harmonic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . For  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , we define the Toeplitz operator on the Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  as  $T_\phi f = P(\phi f)$  where  $P$  denotes the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  onto  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

Let  $S$  denote the unilateral shift on  $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ . It is not hard to see that  $S^* L_\phi S = L_\phi$  for all  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Brown and Halmos (1) showed that the converse also holds: if an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H^2(\mathbb{T}))$  satisfies  $S^* T S = T$ , then  $T = L_\phi$  for some  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . In (3), Englis showed that no such characterization is possible for Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . In fact, he proved that if  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  and  $A T_\phi B = T_\phi$  for all  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  then  $A$  and  $B$  are scalar multiples of the identity. Frankfurt (4) and Cao (2) proved that no bounded operator  $T$  on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  satisfies the operator equation  $T_z^* T T_z = T$ , where  $T_z$  is the Bergman shift on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . A function  $q \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  is said to be an inner function if  $|q| = 1$  almost everywhere. Guo and Wang (5) established that if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H^2(\mathbb{T}))$  then  $T$  is a Toeplitz operator if and only if  $L_q^* T L_q = T$  for each inner function  $q \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Louhichi and Olofsson (6) also obtained a characterization of Toeplitz operators with bounded harmonic symbols.

## 2 Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space

In this section we shall show that if  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  and  $A T_\phi B = T_\phi$  for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  then  $A = \alpha I$  and  $B = \beta I$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ .

The set of vectors  $\{z^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is the standard orthonormal basis for  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Define the operator  $W$  as  $W z^n = \sqrt{n+1} z^n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The operator  $W$  is an unitary operator from  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  onto  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and it maps the standard orthonormal basis  $\{z^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  onto the basis  $\{\sqrt{n+1} z^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $W(\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \sqrt{n+1} z^n$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ . Now  $[T, T_z] = TT_z - T_zT$  is compact if and only if

$$W^*TT_zW - W^*T_zTW$$

is compact. This is true if and only if

$$(W^*TW)(W^*T_zW) - (W^*T_zW)(W^*TW)$$

is compact. That is, if and only if

$$(W^*TW)\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}(W^*TW) = [W^*TW, \mathcal{S}]$$

is compact in  $\mathcal{L}(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$  where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the operator of multiplication by  $z$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . This is so, since

$$(W^*T_zW) - \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \cdot \text{diag} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+2}} - 1 \right)$$

is a compact operator.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  and  $AT_\phi B = T_\phi$  for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Then  $A = \alpha I$  and  $B = \beta I$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  and  $AT_\phi B = T_\phi$  for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

$$(W^*AW)(W^*T_\phi W)(W^*BW) = W^*T_\phi W$$

for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (W^*AW)(W^*T_\phi W)(W^*BW)(W^*T_zW) &= (W^*T_\phi W)(W^*T_zW) \\ &= W^*T_\phi T_zW \\ &= W^*T_{\phi z}W \\ &= W^*AT_{\phi z}BW \\ &= W^*AT_\phi T_zBW \\ &= (W^*AW)(W^*T_\phi W)(W^*T_zW)(W^*BW). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(W^*AW)(W^*T_\phi W) [(W^*BW)(W^*T_zW) - (W^*T_zW)(W^*BW)] = 0.$$

Let  $0 \neq f \in \text{Ran}[(W^*BW)(W^*T_zW) - (W^*T_zW)(W^*BW)] \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

$$(W^*AW)(W^*T_\phi W)f = 0$$

for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Hence the kernel of  $W^*AW$  contains the set

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(W^*T_\phi W)f : \phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}.$$

Consider some  $g \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$  orthogonal to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle g, (W^*T_\phi W)f \rangle \\ &= \langle Wg, P(\phi Wf) \rangle \\ &= \langle Wg, \phi Wf \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} (Wg)(z)\phi(z)\overline{Wf(z)}dA(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ .

Since  $\overline{Wf}Wg \in L^1(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ , we obtain  $\overline{Wf}Wg = 0$  and this is possible if at least one of the analytic function  $Wf, Wg$  is identically zero. But  $f \neq 0$ , by assumption. Hence  $Wf \neq 0$ . Thus  $Wg \equiv 0$  and therefore  $g \equiv 0$ .

It thus follows that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Since  $\mathcal{M} \subset \ker(W^*AW)$ , we obtain  $W^*AW = 0$  and hence  $A \equiv 0$ . This implies  $T_\phi = AT_\phi B = 0$  for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $(W^*BW)(W^*T_z W) - (W^*T_z W)(W^*BW) = 0$  and therefore  $BT_z - T_z B = 0$ . Let  $B1 = h \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then  $Bz^n = BT_z^n 1 = T_z^n B1 = z^n h$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and, consequently,  $Bp = hp$  for all polynomials  $p(z)$ .

For  $f_1 \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , take a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of polynomials converging to  $f_1$  in the  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  norm. Then  $Bp_n \rightarrow Bf_1$  in norm. Because point evaluations are continuous functionals, we have  $p_n(z) \rightarrow f_1(z)$  and  $(Bp_n)(z) \rightarrow (Bf_1)(z)$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . On the other hand,

$$(Bp_n)(z) = (p_n h)(z) = p_n(z)h(z) \rightarrow f_1(z)h(z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Consequently,  $Bf_1 = hf_1$  for all  $f_1 \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , i.e.,  $B$  is the operator of multiplication by  $h \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . That is,  $B = T_h$ . Now  $AT_\phi B = T_\phi$  for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  implies  $B^*T_\phi A^* = T_\phi$  for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , thus, we can deduce in the same way that  $A^*$  is the operator of multiplication by some  $k \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Hence  $A^* = T_k$  and  $A = T_{\bar{k}}$ . Since  $AB = I$  we obtain  $T_{\bar{k}}T_h = T_{\bar{k}h} = I$  as  $h \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\bar{k} \in \overline{L_a^2(\mathbb{D})}$ . For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\langle \bar{k}h z^m, z^n \rangle = \langle z^m, z^n \rangle$ . That is,  $\int_{\mathbb{D}} z^m \bar{z}^n \overline{k(z)}h(z)dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} z^m \bar{z}^n dA(z)$ . This implies that the finite measure  $(\overline{k(z)}h(z) - 1)dA(z)$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is annihilated by all monomials  $z^m \bar{z}^n$ ,  $m, n \geq 0$ . By linearity and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, it is annihilated by all functions continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , and so is the zero measure and  $\bar{k}h = 1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . But this means that  $\bar{k} = \frac{1}{h}$  is both analytic and co-analytic and so must be constant.  $\square$

Given  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $f$  any measurable function on  $\mathbb{D}$ , we define a function  $U_z f(w) = k_z(w)f(\phi_z(w))$ . Since  $|k_z|^2$  is the real Jacobian determinant of the

mapping  $\phi_z$  (see (7)),  $U_z$  is easily seen to be a unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  and  $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$ . It is also easy to check that  $U_z^* = U_z$ , thus  $U_z$  is a self-adjoint unitary operator. If  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  then  $U_z T_\phi = T_{\phi \circ \phi_z} U_z$ . This is because  $P U_z = U_z P$  and for  $f \in L^2_a$ ,  $T_{\phi \circ \phi_z} U_z f = T_{\phi \circ \phi_z}((f \circ \phi_z)k_z) = P((\phi \circ \phi_z)(f \circ \phi_z)k_z) = P(U_z(\phi f)) = U_z P(\phi f) = U_z T_\phi f$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $A_a, B_a \in \mathcal{L}(L^2_a(\mathbb{D}))$ . If  $A_a T_\phi B_a = T_{\phi \circ \phi_a}$  for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  then  $A_a = \alpha U_a$ ,  $B_a = \beta U_a$  and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that  $A_a T_\phi B_a = T_{\phi \circ \phi_a}$  for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  implies

$$U_a A_a T_\phi B_a U_a = U_a T_{\phi \circ \phi_a} U_a = T_\phi$$

for all  $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . From Theorem 2.1, it follows that  $U_a A_a = \alpha I$  and  $B_a U_a = \beta I$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ . Hence  $A_a = \alpha U_a$ ,  $B_a = \beta U_a$  and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Brown, A. and Halmos, P.R., *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **213**(1964), 89-102.
- [2] Cao, G., *A note on characteristic equation of Toeplitz operators on the spaces  $A_k$* , Nagoya Math. J., **144**(1996), 171-177.
- [3] Engliš, M., *A note on Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae **29**(1988), 217-219.
- [4] Frankfurt, R., *Operator equations and weighted shifts*, J. Funct. Anal. **62**(1978), 610-619.
- [5] Guo, K. and Wang, K., *On operators which commute with analytic Toeplitz operators modulo the finite rank operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134**(9)(2006), 2571-2576.
- [6] Louhichi, I. and Olofsson, A., *Characterizations of Bergman space Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. **617**(2008), 1-26.
- [7] Zhu, K., *Operator Theory in Fuction Spaces*, Monographs and textbooks in pure and applied Mathematics, **139**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.