

## Laser Applications in Oral Surgery

Doriana AGOP-FORNA<sup>1</sup>, Claudiu TOPOLICEANU<sup>1\*</sup>, Norina FORNA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Lecturer, “Gr.T.Popa” University of Medicine and Pharmacy Iasi, Romania, Dental Medical Faculty

<sup>2</sup> Univ.Prof, “Gr.T.Popa” University of Medicine and Pharmacy Iasi, Romania, Dental Medical Faculty, ASM titular, AOSR member, CID-CDF president

Corresponding author\*: Topoliceanu Claudiu; e-mail [claudiu.topoliceanu@umfiasi.ro](mailto:claudiu.topoliceanu@umfiasi.ro)

**Abstract.** Oral surgery can be assisted by surgical lasers: diode, erbium, CO<sub>2</sub>, Nd:YAG. The surgical lasers are used in various procedures on oral soft and bone tissues: aesthetic procedures (gingival recontouring, gingival depigmentation); operculectomy; pro-prosthetic surgical procedures (remodeling of mucosa on edentulous sites, dental crown lengthening, frenectomies, vestibuloplasty); excision of gingival or mucosa hyperplasia; peri-implantitis treatment; the removal of small exophytic lesions; the removal of oral benign lesions (ranula, mucocele, pyogenic granuloma, fibrous hyperplasia, epulis fissuratum, hemangioma). For optimum effects at the level of the target oral tissues, the laser energy parameters should be set in relation to the wavelength, the type of intervention, the nature of the inflammatory process (acute-chronic), the tissue penetration depth, tissue pigmentation, and systemic status. The oral surgical procedures performed by surgical lasers are recommended in modern dentistry due to lower risk of soft and hard tissues necrosis, decreased rate of complications, higher patients' compliance (decreased postoperative pain and discomfort) and the acceleration of the healing processes.

**Keywords:** *oral surgery, lasers, incision, ablation, biostimulation*

DOI <https://doi.org/10.56082/annalsarscibio.2021.2.48>

### Introduction

The comparisons between scalpel oral surgery and laser-assisted oral surgical procedures favor the surgical lasers both in the relation to the intraoperative stage parameters (bleeding, pain, discomfort) and postoperative evolution (pain, discomfort, healing time). The lasers used in oral surgery emit light at specific wavelengths with various effects on the soft and hard tissues related to laser parameters, optical properties of oral tissues, distribution and absorption of laser beam in target area (1) (table I). The surgical lasers interact with the oral soft tissues in relation to pigmentation degree, the water content and tissue thickness, by absorption, reflection, diffusion, transmission (2). For optimum effects at the level of the target oral tissues, the laser energy parameters

should be set in relation to the wavelength, the type of intervention, the nature of the inflammatory process (acute-chronic), the tissue penetration depth, tissue pigmentation, and systemic status (3,4). Different wavelengths of surgical lasers will have different absorption coefficients.

Table 1.II. Types of lasers in dental medicine

	Brand	Manufacturer	Type of laser	Wavelength
1.	EPIC	BioLase	Diode	980nm
2.	Elexxion	Elexxion	Diode	810nm
3.	Picasso Plus	AMD Lasers	Diode	810nm
4.	Picasso LiteTouch	AMD Lasers	Diode	810nm
5.	Cheese II	Gigaalaser	Diode	980nm
6.	Peralas	Wuhan Dimed	Diode	810nm, 980nm
7.	K.E.Y.	KaVO	Er:YAG	2940nm
8.	WaterLase	BioLase	Er,Cr:YSGG	2780nm
9.	Lightscalpel	Lightscalpel	CO2	10600nm
10.	Opelaser Pro	Yoshida	CO2	10600nm

The properties of the oral mucosa that influence the postoperative reactions in interventions assisted by diode laser are (5):

- optical properties – absorption, spreading, anisotropy, reflection, refraction indices of the tissue and of the environment;
- thermal properties – temperature, thermal capacity, thermal diffusivity;
- mechanical properties – density, elasticity, tonicity, mechanic anisotropy;
- chemical composition – water content, the presence of endogenous or exogenous chromophores;
- tissue anatomy – microstructure, physical distribution of the cells, organelles and tissues;
- the homogenous or heterogenous structure of the tissue;
- tissue physiology;
- tissue metabolic condition;
- tissue and body functions;
- the body's response to regeneration and healing.

The photothermal effects of surgical lasers are as follows (6):

- Incision/excision (the beam is focalized with small diameter);
- Ablation/vaporization (beam is focalized with a large diameter);
- Hemostasis/coagulation (defocused beam);
- Ablation of granulomatous tissues (at temperatures over 60°C);
- Bonding of wound margins, no need for suture (temperatures between 70°-80°C).

The photochemical effect appears in the bio-stimulation procedures, that have anti-inflammatory effects, and allows the acceleration of the healing

processes at the level of surgical wound The fibroblasts are stimulated to increase the revascularization degree and the synthesis of neo-formed bone tissue (7). A faster healing of the surgical wound is explained by the stimulation of the macrophages activity, which eliminate more rapidly the fibrin layer formed within the first 48-72 hours and accelerates the initiation of epithelization processes(8,9). The effects of biostimulation on bone tissues is associated with the following favorable effects (10,11):

- Increase of the bone trabeculae formation rate;
- Increase of the vascularization rate;
- Increase of the activity of osteoblasts;
- Increase of the differentiation of osteoblasts;
- Increase of DNA production.

The surgical lasers are used in various procedures on soft oral tissues:

- aesthetic procedures (gingival recontouring, gingival depigmentation);
- operculectomy;
- pro-prosthetic surgical procedures (remodeling of mucosa on edentulous sites, dental crown lengthening, frenectomies, vestibuloplasty);
- excision of gingival or mucosa hyperplasia;
- peri-implantitis treatment;
- the removal of small exophytic lesions;
- the removal of oral benign lesions (ranula, mucocele, pyogenic granuloma, fibrous hyperplasia, epulis fissuratum, hemangioma).

The diode lasers are mostly used in the surgical oral procedures due to the absorption of laser beam by tissue pigment and haemoglobin, and poor absorption by hydroxyapatite and water (12). Convissar (2016) recommend diode lasers in oral surgery procedures at the level of the soft tissues due to the following advantages:

- rapid hemostasis;
- antalgic effect;
- reduction of surgical traumatism;
- acceleration of postoperative healing processes
- trophic effect through cellular biostimulation (stimulation of mitochondria, amelioration of cellular metabolism);
- anti-inflammatory effect (reduction of prostaglandins secretion);
- antalgic effect (stimulation of alfa nervous fibers and blocking painful influx).

Table II presents the conclusions of researches related to the postoperative evolution following diode lasers interventions on oral soft tissues.

Table 3.I. Postoperative evolution  
(oral surgery interventions using diode lasers)

	Authors	Year	Procedure	Wavelength	Postoperative evolution
1.	Suter et al. (13)	2010	Excision of minor benign lesions	800-940nm	Absence of postoperative complications for most patients
2.	Gargariet al. (14)	2011	Oral fibromatosis excision	940nm	- absence or amelioration of postoperative complications
3.	Mathur et al. (15)	2015	Hyperplasia of oral mucosa. Oral benign tumors	810nm	- absence of bleeding, edema and postoperative scars. - postoperative healing in 2-3 weeks
4.	Angiero et al. (16)	2012	Fibrous lesions excision	808nm	Low level postoperative pain and discomfort in biopsy excisions of oral mucosa lesions of minimum 5 mm diameter
5.	Kalakonda et al. (17)	2016	Vestibuloplasty	808nm	More significant reduction of VAS pain and discomfort scores in the first 7 days after surgery in the lot treated with laser therapy compared to the lot treated using classical methods
6.	Ize-Iyamu et al. (18)	2013	Gingival hyperplasia excision	810nm	Significant reduction of bleeding, pain and postoperative edema
7.	Kumar et al. (19)	2015	Gingival hyperplasia	980nm	83.7% of the patients showed self-limited bleeding 94% of the patients showed postoperative pain of low intensity

Erbium lasers act on bone tissues by cold ablation, which allows minimally invasive procedures with localized increase of the temperature due to progressive vaporization, which allows the regularization of the bone surfaces and the production of micro-perforations (20,21,22,23). A major advantage of erbium lasers is the reduction of postoperative edema and pain; most patients request no anesthesia during laser-assisted oral surgery procedures (24). The laser beam used

in oral surgery maneuvers may reduce the sensation of pain by direct action on the nervous fibers. In oral surgery, Meletiet al. (2015) recommend the use of erbium lasers due to the capacity of the 2780nm (Er :YAG) and 2980nm (Er,Cr :YSGG) wavelengths to be absorbed by water and hydroxyapatite and a higher protection degree against the soft and bone tissues (small increases of tissue temperature) (25) (table III).

Table III. Postoperative evolution  
in oral surgery interventions assisted by erbium lasers

	Laser-assisted intervention	Authors	Results
1.	Dental extraction/ Odontectomy	Abu-Serriah M. et al. (2004) (26)	- the Er:YAG laser is associated with the absence of bleeding and intraoperative pain in the mucosa incision stage - the Er:YAG laser used in odontectomies or partially included teeth extraction significantly reduces the intensity of VAS scores (pain) compared to the lot of patients whose bone tissue removal was performed using conventional means;
		Aras et al. (2010) (27)	Biostimulation using Er :YAG laser after third molar extractions leads to a reduction of the pain intensity, postoperative edema and trismus compared to patients with no laser biostimulation, however without statistically significant differences.
2.	Therapy of pericoronitis	Convissar (2016) (1)	- postoperatively, partial healing takes place due to the formation of a fibrin layer in the first 4-7 days; complete healing (the removal of the fibrin layer) takes place after one week.
3.	Operculectomy	Convissar (2016) (1)	- superior postoperative evolution (pain, discomfort) compared to the classical technique.
4.	Excision of gingival hyperplasia	Colluziet al. (2007) (4)	- the exclusive use of lasers is recommended in the coagulation and gingival remodeling shape and the removal of the hypertrophied tissue by means of a scalpel.
5.	Frenectomy	Vaderhobli et al. (2010) (28)	- absence of intraoperative and postoperative bleeding; significantly lower postoperative pain and discomfort compared to the conventional technique; acceleration of the healing processes.
6.	Vestibuloplasty	Vaderhobli et al. (2010) (28)	- in erbium laser vestibuloplasty, the healing processes take place faster compared to the classical technique.

The use of erbium laser in sinus lifting procedures associated with bone augmentation or in alveolar augmentation procedures shows the following advantages:

- minimum inflammatory response from the soft tissues;
- reduction of the postoperative edema (it decreases the risk of suture tension);
- reduced discomfort for the patient;
- reduced frequency of infections at the level of the surgical site.

Erbium lasers can be used in the therapy of peri-implant mucositis or in the therapy of peri-implantitis (decontamination of surfaces and ablation of pathological tissues), in association with traditional means of removal and control of the bacterial film deposited at the neck of the implant. The advantages of using erbium laser irradiation in the therapy of peri-implantitis are (29):

- there is no bacterial resistance to the laser irradiation;
- *PorphyromonasGingivalis* bacteria, which have a recontamination potential, are destroyed;
- Endotoxins and lipopolysaccharides produced by negative Gram bacteria can be eliminated;
- There is no risk of interaction with drugs or risk of allergic reactions.

### **Conclusion**

The oral surgical procedures performed by surgical lasers are recommended in modern dentistry due to lower risk of soft and hard tissues necrosis, decreased rate of complications, higher patients' compliance (decreased postoperative pain and discomfort) and the acceleration of the healing processes.

### **R E F E R E N C E S**

- [1] Convissar RA. Principles and Practice of Lasers Dentistry. Ed.2nd. Ed.Mosby Elsevier. 2016. 2. Luke AM, Mathew S, Altawash MM, Madan BM. Lasers: A Review With Their Applications in Oral Medicine. J Lasers Med Sci. 2019;10(4):324-329.
- [2] Fornaini C, Rocca JP. Oral Laserology. ED Learning. 2015.
- [3] Selleri S, Fornaini C, Cucinotta A. Laser Physics, laser-tissues interactions and laser safety. In: Fornaini C, Rocca JP (Ed). Oral laserology. Editografica. 2015.
- [4] Coluzzi DJ, Convissar RA. Atlas of lasers applications in dentistry. Quintessence Publ. 2007.
- [5] Dumitraş D. Biofotonica. Bazele fizice ale aplicațiilor laserelor în medicină și biologie, Editura All Educațional, 1999, București.
- [6] Knappe V, Frank F, Rohde E. Principles of lasers and biophotonic effects. Photomed Laser Surg 2004; 22(5): 411-417.
- [7] Spanemberg JC, Figueiredo MA, Cherubini K, Salum FG. Low-level Laser Therapy: A Review of Its Applications in the Management of Oral Mucosal Disorders. Altern Ther Health Med. 2016;22(6):24-31.

- [8] Hawkins-Evans D, Abrahamse H. A review of laboratory based methods to investigate second messengers in low level laser therapy (LLLT). *Med Laser Appl* 2009; 24: 201-215.
- [9] Petrini M, Ferrante M, Trentini P, Perfetti G, Spoto G. Effect of pre-operative low-level laser therapy on pain, swelling, and trismus associated with third-molar surgery. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2017;22(4):e467-e472.
- [10] Karu TI. Is it time to consider photobiomodulation as a drug equivalent? *Photomed Laser Surg* 2013; 31(5): 189-191.
- [11] Merigo E, Longo L, Rocca JP. Laser and biostimulation. In :Orallaserology (Editors Fornaini C, Rocca JP). 2015. Editografica, Italy.
- [12] Forna D, Popescu E. Utilizarea laserelor în medicina dentară. Edit. Gr.T. Popa Iasi, 2017.
- [13] Suter VG, Altermatt HJ, Sendi P, Mettraux G, Bornstein MM. CO2 and diode laser for excisional biopsies of oral mucosal lesions. A pilot study evaluating clinical and histopathological parameters. *Schweiz MonatsschrZahnmed*. 2010;120(8):664-71.
- [14] Gargari M, Autili N, Petrone A, Ceruso FM. Using laser diodes for the removal of a lesion of the oral mucosa. Case report. *Oral Implantol*. 2011;4(1-2):10-3.
- [15] Mathur E, Sareen M, Dhaka P, Baghla P. Diode Laser Excision of Oral Benign Lesions. *J Lasers Med Sci*. 2015 Summer; 6(3): 129-132.
- [16] Angiero F, Parma L, Crippa R, Benedicenti S. Diode laser (808nm) applied to oral soft tissue lesions: a retrospective study to assess histopathological diagnosis and evaluate physical damage. *Lasers Med Sci*. 2012;27(2):383-388.
- [17] Kalakonda B, Farista S, Koppolu P, Baroudi K, Uppada U, Mishra A, Savarimath A, Lingam AS. Evaluation of Patient Perceptions After Vestibuloplasty Procedure: A Comparison of Diode Laser and Scalpel Techniques. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2016; 10(5): 96-100.
- [18] Ize-Iyamu IN, Saheeb BD, Edetanlen BE. Comparing the 810nm diode laser with conventional surgery in orthodontic soft tissue procedures. *Ghana Med J*. 2013;47(3): 107-11.
- [19] Kumar P, Rattan V, Rai S. Comparative evaluation of healing after gingivectomy with electrocautery and laser. *J Oral Biol Craniofac Res*. 2015 May-Aug;5(2):69-74.
- [20] Agop-Forna Doriana, Vasincu Decebal, Topoliceanu Claudiu, Țarevici Eugenia Larisa, Țibeica Silviu Catalin, Ursu Marius Octavian, Giuvara Constantin Razvan, Ciurcanu Oana. Interactions between laser beam and oral tissues during laser-assisted surgical procedures. *Romanian Journal of Medical and Dental Education* 2020; 9(4): 18-26.
- [21] Gabric PD, Bago I, Katanec D, Zabkar J, Miletic I, Anic I. Comparison of Er:YAG laser and surgical drill for osteotomy in oral surgery: an experimental study. *Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2012;70(11):2515-21.
- [22] Vitale MC, Caprioglio C. *Lasers in Dentistry. Practical text book*. Ed. Martina, Italy. 2010.
- [23] Vescovi P, Romero U, Merigo E, Del Vecchio A, Meleti M, Palaia G, Nammour S. Use of laser therapy in the treatment of jaw bone diseases. *Dental Cadmos* 2011;79(3):133-148.
- [24] Tuncer I, Ozcakir-Tomruk C, Sencift K, Cologlu DL, Buser D. Comparison of conventional surgery and CO2 laser on intraoral soft tissue pathologies and evaluation of the collateral thermal damage. *Photomed Laser Surg* 2009; 27(4):683-687.
- [25] Meleti M, Rocca JP, Manfredi M. Laser and oral soft tissue surgery. In: Fornaini C, Rocca JP (Ed). *Oral laserology*. Editografica. 2015.
- [26] Abu-Serriah M, Critchlow H, Witters CJ, Ayoub A. Removal of partially erupted third molars using an erbium (Er):YAG laser: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2004; 42: 203-208.

- [27] Aras MH, Gungormus M. Placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial of the effect two different low-level laser therapies (LLLT)--intraoral and extraoral--on trismus and facial swelling following surgical extraction of lower third molar. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2010; 25(5): 641–5.
- [28] Vaderhobli RM, White JM, Le C, Ho S, Jordan R. In vitro study of the soft tissue effects of microsecond-pulsed CO<sub>2</sub> laser parameters during soft tissue incision and sulcular debridement. *Lasers Surg Med* 2010; 42(3): 257-63.
- [29] Navarro G, Bezzina ME, Gaultier F. Laser and Periodontics. In: Fornaini C, Rocca JP(Ed). *Oral laserology*. Editografica. 2015.